From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: calum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpwsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester
Date: 10 September 2026 16:02:58

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

Dear Secretary of State,

I am writing as a local resident to strongly oppose the planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE.

I request that planning permission be refused, as I have serious concerns about the wholeness of the evidence submitted with the application. Important environmental assessment work remains outstanding, and significant questions remain around safety, infrastructure conditions, and the suitability of the site for a predominantly young all-male encampment.

I am worried that ground contamination has not been completely investigated. Previous site investigations identified potential contamination issues, yet no further investigation has been carried out to assess those health risks. Areas of the site are known to be of high risk of surface-water flooding and aspects of the drainage plan remain unresolved.

The transport evidence also raises serious concerns. The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the incorrect road, while the B4011 serving the site has very limited safe pedestrian infrastructure and already has a recorded history of serious accidents.

The application has not established a permanent solution for essential core utilities such as electricity and wastewater. I do not have faith in a development accommodating up to 1,256 people should be approved while such essential matters remain unsolved. The proposal is also extremely large for an isolated rural location with limited surrounding infrastructure and restricted public transport.

I am very disturbed that the extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils to properly examine such a large and complex application. The application documents run to well over 1,000 pages and it is unreasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in such a short time. Ordinary planning applications carry a minimum 21-day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage, so I request that the representation period be extended to at least 21 days. It is interesting to note that this site had previously been considered for asylum accommodation for a smaller proposal, which was refused by an independent Planning Inspector and then cancelled.

On the evidence I have submitted I do not believe the applicant has established that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale for predominantly young males.

As a result of my legitimate concerns, I respectfully request that planning permission be refused.

Yours faithfully,

Detlev Myers

[redacted]